JS-6 "O"

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## **CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL**

| Case No. | SACV 09-1194 AG (RNBx)                               | Date | November 4, 2009 |  |
|----------|--|------|------------------|--|
| Title    | VIDAL LOPEZ v. FIRST AMERICAN TITLE INS. CO., et al. |      |                  |  |

| Present: The Honorable            | ANDREW J. | GUILFORD                          |          |  |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|----------|--|
| Lisa Bredahl                      |           | Not Present                       |          |  |
| Deputy Clerk                      |           | Court Reporter / Recorder         | Tape No. |  |
| Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs: |           | Attorneys Present for Defendants: |          |  |

Proceedings: [IN CHAMBERS] ORDER RE: PRETRIAL MATTERS AND THE FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE

On November 4, 2009, this Court issued an order regarding pretrial matters ("November 4 Order"). The Court ordered Plaintiff, within 10 days, to file a declaration under penalty of perjury stating that there had been compliance with Rule 11(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and specifically stating that the allegations supporting federal jurisdiction complied with Rule 11(b).

The November 4 Order stated:

If the declaration required by paragraph 1 is not timely filed, the Court will assume Plaintiff does not wish to pursue this case at this time in federal court, and to avoid a dismissal with prejudice, this case will be immediately dismissed WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

(November 4 Order at 1-2.)

JS-6 "O"

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## **CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL**

| Case No. | SACV 09-1194 AG (RNBx)                               | Date | November 4, 2009 |  |  |
|----------|--|------|------------------|--|--|
| Title    | VIDAL LOPEZ v. FIRST AMERICAN TITLE INS. CO., et al. |      |                  |  |  |

Plaintiff has not filed the declaration required by the November 4 Order. Accordingly, this case is DISMISSED without prejudice. Defendants' Motion to Dismiss is DENIED as moot, and the hearing on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, currently scheduled for November 23, 2009, is VACATED.

|             |     | : | 0 |
|-------------|-----|---|---|
| Initials of |     |   |   |
| Preparer    | lmb |   |   |